Archaeal and Bacterial Glycerol Dialkyl Glycerol Tetraether Lipids in Hot Springs of Yellowstone National Park[∇]

Stefan Schouten,¹* Marcel T. J. van der Meer,¹ Ellen C. Hopmans,¹ W. Irene C. Rijpstra,¹ Anna-Louise Reysenbach,² David M. Ward,³ and Jaap S. Sinninghe Damsté¹

Department of Marine Biogeochemistry & Toxicology, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands¹; Department of Biology, Portland State University, Portland, Oregon 97201²; and Montana State University, Department of Land Resources and Environmental Sciences, Bozeman, Montana³

Received 20 March 2007/Accepted 31 July 2007

Glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) are core membrane lipids originally thought to be produced mainly by (hyper)thermophilic archaea. Environmental screening of low-temperature environments showed, however, the abundant presence of structurally diverse GDGTs from both bacterial and archaeal sources. In this study, we examined the occurrences and distribution of GDGTs in hot spring environments in Yellowstone National Park with high temperatures (47 to 83°C) and mostly neutral to alkaline pHs. GDGTs with 0 to 4 cyclopentane moieties were dominant in all samples and are likely derived from both (hyper)thermophilic Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. GDGTs with 4 to 8 cyclopentane moieties, likely derived from the crenarchaeotal order Sulfolobales and the euryarchaeotal order Thermoplasmatales, are usually present in much lower abundance, consistent with the relatively high pH values of the hot springs. The relative abundances of cyclopentane-containing GDGTs did not correlate with in situ temperature and pH, suggesting that other environmental and possibly genetic factors play a role as well. Crenarchaeol, a biomarker thought to be specific for nonthermophilic group I Crenarchaeota, was also found in most hot springs, though in relatively low concentrations, i.e., <5% of total GDGTs. Its abundance did not correlate with temperature, as has been reported previously. Instead, the cooccurrence of relatively abundant nonisoprenoid GDGTs thought to be derived from soil bacteria suggests a predominantly allochthonous source for crenarchaeol in these hot spring environments. Finally, the distribution of bacterial branched GDGTs suggests that they may be derived from the geothermally heated soils surrounding the hot springs.

Hyperthermophilic archaea thrive at temperatures of >60°C and are found mostly in waters near volcanic areas. Analysis of cultivated hyperthermophilic archaea showed that their membranes are composed predominantly of isoprenoid glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) with acyclic or cyclic dibiphytanyl chains (e.g., structures I to V and VIII to XI in Fig. 1; Tables 1 and 2). The structural differences of diacyl membrane lipids from nonthermophilic eukaryotes and bacteria, i.e., ether bonds and the formation of a monolayer rather than a bilayer, have been suggested to contribute to the stability of membranes of hyperthermophiles at high temperatures and low pHs (for examples, see references 11, 34, and 64). Thus, it seemed likely that GDGTs were present mostly in extreme environments such as hot springs or hydrothermal vents. However, analyses of environmental samples from nonthermophilic environments such as oceans, lakes, and soils have revealed that GDGTs are abundantly present and structurally diverse (49) and that they are produced not only by archaea but also by some bacteria (72).

Besides GDGTs I to V, which were previously reported to be synthesized by (hyper)thermophilic *Crenarchaeota* and *Euryarchaeota*, compound VI is often a dominant GDGT in the oceans, in lakes, and in river waters and also occurs ubiqui-

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Marine Biogeochemistry & Toxicology, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel, The Netherlands. Phone: 31 222 369565. Fax: 31 222 3691674. E-mail: schouten@nioz.nl.

tously in soils and peats (16, 33, 43, 49, 51a, 72). In contrast to other GDGTs, GDGT VI contains a unique cyclohexyl moiety and is thought be derived primarily from nonthermophilic group I Crenarchaeota and was therefore called crenarchaeol (51a). The unusual cyclohexyl moiety is thought to be an adaptation to the relatively low-temperature environment in which these group I Crenarchaeota thrive, since it is not present in (hyper)thermophilic relatives (51a). In cases where the group I Crenarchaeota are the dominant source for these GDGTs, such as in most marine environments and certain lake environments, it was shown that the relative distribution of GDGTs I to VI is controlled predominantly by temperature, i.e., with increasing temperature, there is an increasing amount of GDGTs with cyclopentyl moieties (43, 50). A similar phenomenon has been demonstrated for hyperthermophilic archaea (10, 14, 61, 62).

In addition to these archaeal GDGTs, analysis of soils and peats revealed the abundant presence of GDGTs with nonisoprenoid carbon skeletons (GDGTs XII to XVI) (19, 49, 72, 73). Based on the stereochemistry of the glycerol group and their environmental distribution, Weijers et al. (72) showed that they are not derived from archaea but are produced by anaerobic bacteria. A detailed study of a large range of soils revealed that the relative distribution of GDGTs XII to XIV is determined mainly by temperature and pH, i.e., with higher temperature or lower pH, the relative amount of the GDGT with four methyl groups (GDGT XII) increases (74). In contrast, the relative distribution of the bacterial GDGTs containing cyclopentane rings, GDGTs XV and XVI, is determined

^v Published ahead of print on 10 August 2007.



FIG. 1. Structures of GDGTs present in the hot springs of Yellowstone National Park.

mainly by pH, i.e., with higher pH, the relative amounts of GDGTs XV and XVI decrease (74).

From the above discussion, it is clear that GDGTs in lowtemperature environments (<30°C) are structurally diverse and can be produced by multiple organisms. Remarkably, relatively little attention has been paid to the GDGT distribution in hightemperature environments such as hot springs, from which many of the hyperthermophilic archaea known to produce GDGTs have been isolated. Ward et al. (67) analyzed the distribution of biphytanyl moieties released by chemical degradation of GDGTs in a few hot spring microbial mats from Yellowstone National Park, found biphytanes likely derived from GDGTs I to V, and attributed them to methanogenic and thermoacidophilic archaea. Pancost et al. (41) found GDGTs I to V and VIII to XI in sinters from hot springs (75 to 82°C) in New Zealand and attributed these to hyperthermophilic archaea. Recently, Pearson et al. (42) and Zhang et al. (78) have reported the distribution of GDGTs in a number of neutral to alkaline hot spring microbial mats. They surprisingly also reported, besides GDGTs I to IX, crenarchaeol in various relative amounts. Pearson et al. (42) and Zhang et al. (78) attributed this to a more widespread occurrence of crenarchaeol, not just in the nonthermophilic group I Crenarchaeota. This assumption was based on the fact that no 16S rRNA gene sequences from group I Crenarchaeota were retrieved from these hot springs (42). Furthermore, the amount of crenarchaeol relative to that of GDGT I was at a maximum in hot springs with temperatures of ca. 40 to 50°C, leading Zhang et al. (78) to suggest that crenarchaeol-producing organisms had a thermophilic growth optimum. Therefore, Zhang et al. (78) concluded that crenarchaeol biosynthesis may have evolved within the moderate thermophiles and thus could be older than the major marine expansion of group I Crenarchaeota, thought to have occurred during the Cretaceous period (27, 51a). However, this latter view is at odds with a hypothesis presented earlier (51a) which argued that crenarchaeol biosynthesis was a key step in the expansion of the marine mesophilic Crenarchaeota.

Aciduliprofundum boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V 45 Thermoccus aggregans ~80 7 ~50 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermoccus aggregans ~80 7 46 1 56 Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus hybriothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 32, Predecoccus ferrophilus 83 6 >80 1 56, Archaeoglobus synopindus 85 7 69 I 56 Archaeoglobus profindus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 10 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor 1 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 11 18 Methanobacterium numinantum 37 6.7 ND 39	TABLE	E 1. Occurrenc	e and distrib	ution of GDGTs	in Euryarchaeota ^a			
Ferroplasma "acidophulum 37 1.0 100 $I-V$ 34 Thermoplasma acidophulum 30-60 1-2 95 $I-V$ 28 Thermoplasma acidophulum 45-62 1.5 100 $I-V$, VIII 62 Pecrophilus othinae 60 0.7 100 $I-V$, VIII 48 Pecrophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 $I-V$, VIII 48 Pecrophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 $I-V$, VIII 45 DHVE2-cluster	Order or species	Temp (°C)	pH	GDGTs (%)		Reference(s) or source		
Ferroplasma "acidophulum 37 1.0 100 $I-V$ 34 Thermoplasma acidophulum 30-60 1-2 95 $I-V$ 28 Thermoplasma acidophulum 45-62 1.5 100 $I-V$, VIII 62 Pecrophilus othinae 60 0.7 100 $I-V$, VIII 48 Pecrophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 $I-V$, VIII 48 Pecrophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 $I-V$, VIII 45 DHVE2-cluster	Thermoplasmatales							
Ferroplasma acidophilum 37 1.7 100 I-V 34 Thermoplasma acidophilum 30-60 1-2 95 I-V 28 Thermoplasma vokanium 45-62 1.5 100 I-V, VIII 62 Perophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 I-V, VIII 62 DHVE2-cluster Aciduliprofundano boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V, tr VIII 6 Aciduliprofundano boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermocccals Thermoccal segregans -80 7 -50 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermoccal segregans -81 5.5 63 I 25 1 Thermoccal sharophilus 83 6 >80 1 56, 57 7 Thermoccal sharophilus 83 6 >80 1 50 2 2 Thermoccal sharophilus 83 6 >80 1 50 2 2 2 2 Thermocal sharophilus 83 6 >80 1 50 30	Ferroplasma "acidarmanus"	37	1.0	100	I–V	34		
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} The model and a constraint of the second seco$	Ferroplasma acidophilum							
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$								
$\begin{array}{ccccc} Piccophilus obhinae & 60 & 0.7 & 100 & I-V, VIII & 48 \\ Piccophilus toridus & 45-62 & 1.3 & 100 & I-V, VIII-XI & 62 \\ \hline Piccophilus toridus & 56 & 10 & 1-V, VIII-XI & 62 \\ \hline DIVE2-cluster & 70 & 4.5 & 100 & I-V & 45 \\ \hline Thermoccus agregans & -80 & 7 & -50 & I-V, tr VIII & 6 & 1 & 56 & 1 & 29 & 1 & 56 & 1 & 29 & 1 & 56 & 1 & 20 & 1 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16 & 16$								
Pricophilus toridus 45-62 1.3 100 I-V, VIII-XI 62 DIVE2-cluster Aciduliprofundum boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermoccus aggregans -80 7 -50 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermoccus collescents 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus collecters 88 5.8 46 1 56 Thermoccus collecters 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus collecters 87 7 ND 35 35 Thermoccus collecters 87 7 68 63 1 30 Pyrococcus fromphilus 83 6 >80 1 36 56 Archacoglobals pulgidus 60-95 5.5-8 Major 1 60 Archacoglobals pulgidus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanosprites kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 70 Methanosprites kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 71	Picrophilus oshimaa							
DIVE2-cluster Acidaliprofundum boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V 45 Thermoccascales Thermoccus agregans -80 7 -50 $I-V$, tr VIII 6 Thermoccus celer 88 5.8 46 1 56 Thermoccus celer 88 5.8 46 1 56 Thermoccus burdentermalits 79 6.8 63 1 32 Thermoccus furthermalits 79 6.8 63 1 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 60 1 56 Archaceglobus fulgidus 60–95 5.5–8 Major 1 60 Archaceglobus fulgidus 60–95 5.5–8 Major 1 60 Archaceglobus fulgidus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanospyrales 85 7 Minor 1 17 Methanospyrales 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 Methanopyrales 35 7 <	Digraphilus torridus							
Aciduliprofundum boonei 70 4.5 100 I-V 45 Thermoccus aggregans ~80 7 ~50 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermoccus aggregans ~80 7 46 1 56 Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus hybriothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 32, Predecoccus ferrophilus 83 6 >80 1 56, Archaeoglobus synopindus 85 7 69 I 56 Archaeoglobus profindus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 10 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor 1 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 11 18 Methanobacterium numinantum 37 6.7 ND 39	Picrophius iornaus	43-02	1.5	100	1-v, v $111-X1$	62		
Thermocculas Thermocculs aggregans 80 7 -50 I-V, tr VIII 6 Thermocculs celer 88 5.8 46 1 56 Thermocculs collescents 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermocculs barophilus 83 6 30 1 35 Thermocculs phytrothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 30 Prococculs phytrothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 30 Pyrococculs phytrothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 30 Pyrococculs phytrothermalis 79 6.8 63 1 30 Pyrococculs phytrothermalis 70 7 69 I 56 Archaeoglobuls 60 95 5.5–8 Major 1 60 Archaeoglobuls pluicidus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales Methanopyrales ND 1 11 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 1 11 Methanobacteriales	DHVE2-cluster							
Thermoccus aggregans -80 7 -50 $I-V, tr VIII$ 6 Thermoccus celer 88 5.8 46 1 56 Thermoccus colexcens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus byltrohternalis 79 6.8 63 1 32 Premoccus hyltrohternalis 79 6.8 63 1 32 Pyrococcus hyltrohternalis 83 6 >80 1 56 Archaeoglobuls 90 6 Minor 1 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 Pore 60 5 Archaeoglobuls profindus 60-95 5.5-8 Major 1 60 Archaeoglobuls profindus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 17 Methanopyrus kandleri 35 6.7 ND 11 10 Methanopyrus kandleri 35 6.7 ND 11 10 Methanopyrus kandleri 35 6.7 ND <td>Aciduliprofundum boonei</td> <td>70</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>100</td> <td>I–V</td> <td>45</td>	Aciduliprofundum boonei	70	4.5	100	I–V	45		
Methanobacteriales 46 1 56 Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus barophilus 83 6 80 1 26 Premoccus vosesi 102 6 Minor 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 69 1 56 Archaeoglobuls $Archaeoglobuls profundus$ 60 $5.5-8$ Major 1 60 Archaeoglobus profundus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales Methanosarcinales $Methanosarcinales anderi 97 6.5 Minor 1 17 Methanobactrial mazei 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 86 12 96 Methanobactrial mazei 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 86 11 38 Methanobacteriales 6.5 7 8 1 29 86 13$	Thermococcales							
Methanobacteriales 46 1 56 Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus barophilus 83 6 80 1 26 Premoccus vosesi 102 6 Minor 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 69 1 56 Archaeoglobuls $Archaeoglobuls profundus$ 60 $5.5-8$ Major 1 60 Archaeoglobus profundus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales Methanosarcinales $Methanosarcinales anderi 97 6.5 Minor 1 17 Methanobactrial mazei 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 86 12 96 Methanobactrial mazei 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 86 11 38 Methanobacteriales 6.5 7 8 1 29 86 13$		~ 80	7	~ 50	I–V, tr VIII	6		
Thermoccus celer 88 5.8 46 I 56 Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 I 26 Thermoccus barophilus 87 7 ND 35 Thermoccus brokohtermalis 79 6.8 63 I 32 Prococcus prophilus 83 6 >80 I 56 Archaeoglobus forophilus 83 6 >80 I 56 Archaeoglobus projundus 60 Archaeoglobus projundus 60 Archaeoglobus projundus 85 7 Process placidus 85 7 ND 15 Methanopyrules Major I 60 Archaeoglobus projundus 85 7 Minor I 15 Methanopyrules Major I 60 11 Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 Minor I 7 Methanobarcina mazei 35 6.7 Minor I 7 ND 11 Methanobarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND 11 38 39 39 <t< td=""><td>88 8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	88 8							
Thermoccus coalescens 87 6.5 63 1 26 Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus hydrothermalis 79 6.8 63 I 32 Palaecoccus ferrophilus 83 6 >80 I 30 Pyrococcus weedi 102 6 Minor I 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 69 I 56 Archaeoglobus fulgidus 60–95 5.5–8 Major I 60 Archaeoglobus fulgidus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales Major I 60 60–97 6.5 Minor I–V van der Meer et al., unpublished Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND I1 17 Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND I1 18 Methanobacteriales 11 20–23 7–7.8 ND 39 39 Methanobacteriales 65 6.7 56 I 29 29 Methanobacterinum t	Thermoccus celer	88	5.8					
Thermoccus barophilus 85 7 ND 35 Thermoccus barophilus 79 6.8 63 I 32 Palaecoccus ferophilus 83 6 >80 1 30 Pyrococcus weesei 102 6 Minor 1 30 Pyrococcus yeesei 102 6 Minor 1 60 Archaeoglobus projundus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanosarcinales 35 6.7 Minor 1 11 Methanobascrian mazei 35 6.7 ND 1 11 Methanobacteriales 35 6.7 ND 1 39 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20<								
Thermoccus hydrothermalis 79 6.8 63 I 32 Palaecoccus ferrophilus 83 6 >80 I 56, 57 Pyrococcus weekei 102 6 Minor I 30 Pyrococcus weekei 102 6 Minor I 30 Archaeoglobus fulgius 60–95 5.5–8 Major I 60 Archaeoglobus profundus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 17 Methanopyrus kandleri 97 6.5 Minor I 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 Ninor I 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 11 11 Methanobacteriales 102 20–23 7–7.8 ND 39 Methanobacteriales 1 38 1 53 1 29 Methanobacterialer 35 6.7 56 I 29 29 Methanobacterialerin uninantitum 37 6.7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td>					1			
Palaecoccus ferrophilus 83 6 >80 I 56, 57 Pyrococcus worsei 102 6 Minor I 30 Pyrococcus furiosus 85 7 69 I 30 Archaeoglobus fugidus 60–95 5.5–8 Major I 60 Archaeoglobus profindus 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales 85 7 Present NR 15 Methanopyrales 97 6.5 Minor I 17 Methanopyrales 97 6.5 Minor I 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 11 11 Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND 11 14 Methanobacciaids burtonii 20–23 7–7.8 ND 39 39 Methanobacteriales 9 8 6.5 91 I 38 Methanobacteriaun termoautotophicum 65 6.7 56 1 29 Methanobacteriaun teremoautotophicum 65 6.7 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>T</td> <td></td>					T			
Pyrococcus vioesei1026MinorI30Pyrococcus furiosus85769156ArchaeoglobalesArchaeoglobus fugidus60–955.5–8MajorI60Archaeoglobus fugidus857PresentNR15Methanopyrales857PresentNR15Methanopyrales976.5MinorI17Methanopyrales356.7MinorI17Methanosarcinal barkeri356.7ND11Methanobascrina mazei357MinorI39Methanobacteriales357ND3939Methanobacteriales86.591I38Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.740I33Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.740I33Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.78I29Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.78I33Methanococcus voltae306.740I33Methanococcus ignes685ND813Methanococcus ignes685ND1360Methanococcus ignes0–406–7ND2525ANBE-cluster0–406–7ND25								
Pyrococcus furiosus85769156Archaeoglobales Archaeoglobus profundus $60-95$ $5.5-8$ Major1 60 Archaeoglobus profundus 85 7PresentNR 15 Methanopyrales Methanopyrus kandleri97 6.5 Minor $I-V$ van der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanopyrales Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 Minor 1 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 NID 11 Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND 11 Methanosarcina mazei 35 7 Minor I Methanobacteriales Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum 65 6.7 8 29 Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum 65 6.7 56 29 29 Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum 65 6.7 8 1 29 Methanococculs 88 6.5 91 1 38 Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum 65 6.7 61 29 Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum 65 6.7 8 153 Methanococculs 8 6.5 8 13 Methanococculs ignes 6 85 ND 8 Methanococcus voltae $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND 13 Methanococcus voltae $20-45$ 7 50 1 52 Halobacteriales $0-40$ $6-7$ ND 25 Anthanospirillum hungatei <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>								
Archaeoglobales Archaeoglobus filigidus $60-95$ $5.5-8$ MajorI 60 Archaeoglobus placidus857PresentNR 15 Methanopyrales Methanopyrus kandleri97 6.5 MinorI-Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcinales Methanosarcina mazei35 6.7 MinorI 17 Methanosarcina mazei35 6.7 ND 11 Methanosarcina barkeri35 6.7 ND 11 Methanosarcina mazei35 7 MinorISchouten et al., unpublishedMethanobacteriales Methanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 Methanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 IMethanobacterium ruminantium 35 6.7 40 IStanoscherium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 40 IStanoscherium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 40 IStanoscherium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 40 IStanoscheriu 6 85 ND 8 Methanobacceus jannaschii 6 85 ND 8 Methanococcus igneus $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND 13 Methanococcus igneus $30-45$ 7 50 I 52 Halobacteriales $0-40$ $6-7$ ND 25 ANME-cluster $30-45$ 7 50 I 52								
Archaeoglobus fulgidus $60-95$ $5.5-8$ MajorI 60 Archaeoglobus profundus857PresentNR 15 Methanopyrales857PresentNR 15 Methanopyrus kandleri97 6.5 MinorI 17 Methanosarcinales97 6.5 MinorI 17 Methanosarcina barkeri 35 6.7 ND 11 Methanosarcina mazei 35 6.7 ND 11 Methanobus bombayensis 35 7 MinorISchouten et al., unpublished 39 39 Methanobacteriales 88 6.5 91 I 38 Methanobacterinu ruminantium 37 6.7 28 I 29 Methanoscerinu ruminantium 35 6.7 40 I 53 Methanobacterinu ruminantium 35 6.7 40 I 53 Methanococcus jannaschii $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND 8 13 Methanococcus januschii 6 85 ND 8 13 Methanococcus igneus $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND 13 13 Methanococcus igneus $30-45$ 7 50 I 52 Halobacteriales $0-40$ $6-7$ ND 25 ANME-cluster $30-45$ 7 50 I 52	Pyrococcus furiosus	85	7	69	1	56		
Archaeoglobus profundus Ferroglobus placidusMajorI60Ferroglobus placidus857PresentNR15Methanopyrales Methanosarcina barkeri976.5MinorI-Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanosarcina mazei357MinorISchouten et al., unpublishedMethanobacteriales357ND39Methanobacteriales886.591I38Methanobacteriales886.756I29Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.756I29Methanococcus jannaschii685ND813Methanococcus igneus20–456.5–8ND13Methanococcus igneus0–406–7ND12Methanospirillum hungatei30–45750I52ANME-cluster0–406–7ND25	Archaeoglobales							
Archaeoglobus profundus Ferroglobus placidusMajorI60Ferroglobus placidus857PresentNR15Methanopyrales Methanosarcina barkeri976.5MinorI-Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanosarcina mazei357MinorISchouten et al., unpublishedMethanobacteriales357ND39Methanobacteriales886.591I38Methanobacteriales886.756I29Methanobacterium thermoautorophicum656.756I29Methanococcus jannaschii685ND813Methanococcus igneus20–456.5–8ND13Methanococcus igneus0–406–7ND12Methanospirillum hungatei30–45750I52ANME-cluster0–406–7ND25	Archaeoglobus fulgidus	60-95	5.5-8	Major	Ι	60		
Ferroglobus placidus857PresentNR15Methanopyrales Methanosarcinales976.5MinorI-Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina barkeri356.7ND11Methanolobus bombayensis357MinorIMethanolobus bombayensis357MinorIMethanolobus bombayensis357ND39Methanolobus bombayensis3577.7.8ND39Methanobacteriales Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacteriales886.591I38Methanobacterium ruminantium376.726129Methanobacterium ruminantium356.740I53Methanococcus356.740I53Methanococcus685ND813Methanococcus igneus685ND813Methanococcus igneus30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster06-7ND25				Major	Ι	60		
Methanopyrus kandleri976.5MinorI–Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcinalesMethanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanosarcina mazei357MinorIMethanosorcina mazei357MinorIMethanococcocides burtonii20–237–7.8ND39Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756IMethanoosphaera stadtmanae356.740IMethanococcules685ND8Methanococcus voltae20–456.5–8ND13Methanococcus voltae20–456.5–8ND13Methanopriribales0–406–7ND25ANME-cluster0–406–7ND25		85	7	Present	NR	15		
Methanopyrus kandleri976.5MinorI–Vvan der Meer et al., unpublishedMethanosarcinalesMethanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanosarcina mazei357MinorIMethanosorcina mazei357MinorIMethanococcocides burtonii20–237–7.8ND39Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756IMethanoosphaera stadtmanae356.740IMethanococcules685ND8Methanococcus voltae20–456.5–8ND13Methanococcus voltae20–456.5–8ND13Methanopriribales0–406–7ND25ANME-cluster0–406–7ND25	Methanopyrales							
Methanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanolobus bombayensis357MinorISchouten et al., unpublished39Methanobacteriales39Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium ruminantium358I5331Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756I29Methanococculs356.740I53Methanococculs356.740I53Methanococculs3030303030Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31301330Methanococculs30133045750IMethanospirillum hungatei303045750I52ANME-cluster0667ND25		97	6.5	Minor	I–V	van der Meer et al., unpublished		
Methanosarcina barkeri356.7MinorI17Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanolobus bombayensis357MinorISchouten et al., unpublished39Methanobacteriales39Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728IMethanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium ruminantium358I5331Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756I29Methanococculs356.740I53Methanococculs356.740I53Methanococculs3030303030Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31358I33Methanococculs31301330Methanococculs30133045750IMethanospirillum hungatei303045750I52ANME-cluster0667ND25	Methanosarcinales							
Methanosarcina mazei356.7ND11Methanolobus bombayensis357MinorISchouten et al., unpublishedMethanolocccoides burtonii $20-23$ $7-7.8$ ND39Methanobacteriales 39 I38Methanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 IMethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 26 IMethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 56 IMethanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 40 IMethanococccales8I 53 Methanococcus jannaschii 6 85 ND 8 Methanococcus igneus $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND13Methanococcus igneus $30-45$ 7 50 I 52 Methanospriillum hungatei $30-45$ 7 50 I 52 ANME-cluster $0-40$ $6-7$ ND 25		35	67	Minor	T	17		
Methanolobus bombayensis357MinorISchouten et al., unpublished 39Methanococcoides burtonii20–237–7.8ND39Methanobacteriales39Methanobacterium ruminantium376.7281Methanobacterium ruminantium376.7281Methanobacterium ruminantium376.7281Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.7561Methanobrevibacter smithii358153Methanococcales815313Methanococcus jannaschii685ND8Methanococcus voltae20–456.5–8ND13Methanomicrobiales Methanospriillum hungatei30–45750IMethanospriillum hungatei30–45750I52ANME-cluster0–406–7ND25					1			
Methanococcoides burtonii $20-23$ $7-7.8$ ND 39 MethanobacterialesMethanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 1 29 Methanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 1 29 Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 56 1 29 Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 56 1 29 Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 56 1 29 Methanosphaera stadtmanae 35 6.7 40 1 53 Methanococcales $Methanococcus jannaschii685ND8Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneusMinorI60Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25$					т			
MethanobacterialesNethanothermus fervidus886.591I38Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756I29Methanobrevibacter smithii358I53Methanosphaera stadtmanae356.740I53Methanococcules685ND81Methanococcus jannaschii685ND13Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneus0-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster0-406-7ND25					1			
Methanothermus fervidus 88 6.5 91 I 38 Methanobacterium ruminantium 37 6.7 28 I 29 Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum 65 6.7 56 I 29 Methanobrevibacter smithii 35 8 I 53 Methanosphaera stadtmanae 35 6.7 40 I 53 Methanococcales 85 ND 8 Methanococcus jannaschii 6 85 ND 8 Methanococcus voltae $20-45$ $6.5-8$ ND 13 Methanospirillum hungatei $30-45$ 7 50 I 52 Halobacteriales $0-40$ $6-7$ ND 25 ANME-cluster 0 $6-7$ ND 25	Meinanococcolaes burionii	20-23	/-/.8	ND		39		
Methanobacterium ruminantium376.728I29Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756I29Methanobrevibacter smithii358I53Methanosphaera stadtmanae356.740I53Methanococcales685ND8Methanococcus jannaschii685ND13Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster06-7ND25	Methanobacteriales							
Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum656.756I29Methanobrevibacter smithii358I53Methanosphaera stadtmanae356.740I53Methanococcales Methanococcus jannaschii685ND8Methanococcus jannaschii685ND13Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster5015253	Methanothermus fervidus		6.5					
Methanobrevibacter smithii35356.740I53Methanosphaera stadtmanae356.740I53Methanococcales685ND8Methanococcus jannaschii685ND13Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneus10160Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster5353535353	Methanobacterium ruminantium	37	6.7	28	Ι	29		
Methanosphaera stadtmanae356.740I53Methanococcales Methanococcus jannaschii685ND820-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneus1360Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750IMethanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster5015253	Methanobacterium thermoautotrophicum	65	6.7	56	Ι	29		
Methanococcales 6 85 ND 8 Methanococcus jannaschii 6 85 ND 13 Methanococcus voltae 20-45 6.5-8 ND 13 Methanococcus igneus Minor I 60 Methanospirillum hungatei 30-45 7 50 I 52 Halobacteriales 0-40 6-7 ND 25 ANME-cluster Image: Source	Methanobrevibacter smithii	35		8	Ι	53		
Methanococcus jannaschii685ND8Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneus10-45750IMethanospirillum hungatei30-45750IHalobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster50152	Methanosphaera stadtmanae	35	6.7	40		53		
Methanococcus jannaschii685ND8Methanococcus voltae20-456.5-8ND13Methanococcus igneus10-45750IMethanospirillum hungatei30-45750IHalobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster50152	Methanococcales							
Methanococcus voltae Methanococcus igneus20-456.5-8ND Minor13 60Methanomicrobiales Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster		6	85	ND		8		
Methanococcus igneusMinorI60Methanomicrobiales Methanospirillum hungatei30–45750I52Halobacteriales0–406–7ND25ANME-cluster								
Methanomicrobiales Methanospirillum hungatei30–45750I52Halobacteriales0–406–7ND25ANME-cluster		20-43	0.5-8		т			
Methanospirillum hungatei30-45750I52Halobacteriales0-406-7ND25ANME-cluster	Meinanococcus igneus			IVIIIIOF	1	80		
Halobacteriales 0–40 6–7 ND 25 ANME-cluster	Methanomicrobiales	20. 17	-		Ŧ			
ANME-cluster	Methanospirillum hungatei	30-45	7	50	1	52		
	Halobacteriales	0–40	6–7	ND		25		
	ANME-cluster							
AUNVE-1 $2-22$ $\sim /$ 100 $1-V$ 4	ANME-1	5-35	~ 7	100	I–V	4		

TABLE 1. Occurrence and distribution of GDGTs in Euryarchaeota^a

^a NR, not reported; ND, not detected; tr, trace.

The relatively few studies done on the occurrence and distribution of GDGT in hot spring microbial mats and their sometimes surprising results prompted us to study in more detail the occurrence and distribution of GDGTs in a number of hot spring environments. We analyzed microbial mats and sediments from several hot springs in Yellowstone National Park, as a number of the archaea known to synthesize GDGTs have been isolated from these hot springs (e.g., 5, 20, 77) and archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences related to them have also been found in samples from some of the springs (for examples, see references 1, 37, and 44). These hot springs had a relatively large range of temperatures (47 to 83°C) and were mostly of neutral to alkaline pH range (6.0 to 8.9), enabling us to study the impact of these environmental parameters on the relative distribution of GDGTs.

Order or species	Temp (°C)	pH	GDGTs (%)	GDGT isomer(s)	Reference or source
Desulfurococcales					
Desulfurococcus mobilis	85	6		Ι	31
Ignicoccus sp.	95			Ι	23
Pyrolobus fumarii	106	5.5		Ι	3
Thermosphaera aggregans	85	6.5		I–V	20
"Caldisphaerales"					
Caldisphara lagunensis	45-80	2–5	100	I + "cyclopentane containing"	22
Thermoproteales					
Thermoproteus tenax	85	5.5	95	I–V, VIII	59
Thermoproteus neutrophilus	85	6.5	63	NR	29
Pyrobaculum aerophilum	100	7	95	I–V	65
Pyrobaculum islandicum			99	I–V	60
Čaldivirga maquilingensis	83	4	100	"Cyclopentane containing"	21
Vulcanisaeta distributa	65-89	3.5–5	100	I + "cyclopentane containing"	22
Sulfolobales					
Šulfolobus solfataricus	70-85	3–4	100	I–V, VIII–XI	11
Sulfolobus acidocaldarius	70	2-3	100	I–V, VIII–XI	11
Sulfolobus shibatae	76	3–4	100	I–V, VIII–XI	55
Metallosphaera hakonensis	70	3	100	I + "cyclopentane containing"	58
Metallosphaera sedula	75	2.8	Major	I–V, VIII	63
Group I.1A					
Nitrosopumilus maritimus	30	7	100	I–VII	Schouten et al., unpublishe

TABLE 2. Occurrence and distribution of GDGTs in Crenarchaeota^a

^a NR, not reported; ND, not detected; tr, trace.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples. The microbial mats and sediments sampled are listed in Table 3 together with selected environmental parameters of the hot spring waters. Typically, mat and sediment samples were taken with solvent-rinsed spatulas, kept frozen after sampling, and freeze-dried prior to lipid analysis. Hot spring water nutrients were measured spectrophotometrically using an autoanalyzer system, a Bran and Luebbe TRAACS 800+. Sulfide was trapped as zinc sulfide in the field directly after sampling by adding zinc acetate to the spring water. Sulfide concentrations were measured against a standard series in the laboratory using the colorimetric methylene blue method (7).

Lipid extraction. Hot spring samples were typically extracted by sonication with methanol (twice), methanol-dichloromethane (DCM) (1:1, vol/vol; twice), and finally DCM (twice). The supernatants were collected, and after evaporation of the solvents, the extracts were dried over a small column filled with sodium sulfate. An aliquot of the total lipid extract was directly fractionated into apolar and polar fractions using a small column with activated alumina and using hexane-DCM (9:1, vol/vol) and DCM-methanol (1:1, vol/vol) as eluents, respectively. For samples from Calcite Springs and Obsidian Pool, another aliquot of the total extract was hydrolyzed by refluxing the samples for 1 h in 1 M HClmethanol. The hydrolyzed extract was neutralized using 1 M NaOH in methanol and transferred using DCM to a separatory funnel. The DCM layer was washed against water (thrice). The DCM layer was dried using a sodium sulfate column to yield the hydrolyzed extract. The hydrolyzed extract was separated into apolar and polar fractions as described above. The polar fractions were condensed by rotary evaporation, dried further under nitrogen, dissolved in hexane-isopropanol (99:1, vol/vol), and filtered using a polytetrafluoroethylene 0.4-µm filter prior to injection in the high-performance liquid chromatograph-mass spectrometer (HPLC-MS).

Instrumental analysis. GDGT analyses were performed as described by Hopmans et al. (18) using an Agilent (Palo Alto, CA) 1100 series HPLC-MS equipped with an autoinjector and Chemstation chromatography manager software. Separation was achieved on a Prevail Cyano column (2.1 by 150 mm, 3 μ m; Alltech, Deerfield, IL), maintained at 30°C. Injection volumes ranged from 1 to 20 μ l. GDGTs were eluted isocratically with 99% hexane and 1% isopropanol for

	ings in Yellowstone National Park ^a

Hot spring	Area	Sample	Date (day-mo-yr)	Temp (°C)	pН	SO ₄ (mM)	S ²⁻ (mM)	${ m NH_4^+} ({ m mM})$	Predominant microbe(s)	Reference(s)
Obsidian Pool	Mud Vulcano	Sediment	18-9-2000	75	6	~ 0.4	~1	~15	Aquificales/Proteobacteria	1
Calcite Springs	Tower-Roosevelt	Black filaments	18-9-2000	83	7.8	6-31	ND	~ 1700	Aquificales	44
Nymph Creek	Norris Geyser	Algal mat	26-8-1997	47	2.6	ND	ND	ND	Cyadinium	67, 68
New Pit	Basin Mammoth Springs	Anoxygenic phototroph mat	26-8-1997	55	6.5	7.1	54	32	Chromatium/Chloroflexus	68
Octopus Spring	Lower Geyser Basin	Cyanobacterial mat	27-8-1997	60	8.3	0.21	BDL	0.6	Synechococcus/Roseiflexus	68, 70
Mushroom Spring	Lower Geyser Basin	Cyanobacterial mat	22-8-1999	61	8.1	0.20	BDL	0.6	Synechococcus/Roseiflexus	68, 70
opring	Lower Geyser Basin	Cyanobacterial mat	22-8-1999	50	8.5	ND	BDL	ND	Synechococcus/Roseiflexus	68, 70
Bath Lake Vista	Mammoth Springs	Anoxygenic phototroph mat	28-8-1997	57	6.2	5.6	133	49	Chloroflexus-like bacteria	This study
	opings	Cyanobacterial mat Cyanobacterial mat	28-8-1997 28-8-1997	54 53	ND 6.6	ND 5.7	40 43	ND 48	Synechococcus/Chloroflexus Synechococcus/Chloroflexus	This study This study

^a ND, not determined; BDL, below detection limit.

5 min and then a linear gradient to 1.8% isopropanol for 45 min. The flow rate was set at 0.2 ml/min. After each analysis, the column was cleaned by back-flushing hexane-propanol (90:10, vol/vol) at 0.2 ml/min for 10 min.

Detection was achieved using positive-ion atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization of the eluent. Conditions for the Agilent 1100 atmospheric-pressure chemical ionization-MS were as follows: nebulizer pressure, 60 lb/in2; vaporizer temperature, 400°C; drying gas (N2) flow, 6 liters/min, and temperature, 200°C; capillary voltage, -3 kV; corona, 5 μ A (~3.2 kV). The conditions for GDGT analysis were optimized by injection of cholesterol or by a GDGT I standard. GDGTs were detected by mass scanning from m/z 950 to 1450. Relative abundances of GDGTs were quantified by integration of the peak area of the [M+H]⁺ and [M+H + 1]⁺ ions using Excalibur software. Crenarchaeol (nominal mass of protonated molecule = 1,292 Da) coelutes with GDGT V (nominal mass of protonated molecule = 1,294 Da), and thus, its isotope peaks (the $[M+H + 2]^+$ and $[M+H + 3]^+$ ions) can potentially interfere with that of the $[M+H]^+$ and $[M+H+1]^+$ ions of GDGT V, leading to an overestimation of GDGT V (see reference 71). However, as crenarchaeol is usually only a minor component compared to GDGT V and its isotope peaks are <33% of its molecular $[M+H]^+$ and $[M+H+1]^+$ ions, the overestimation of the relative abundance of GDGT V is minor in this case.

The methylation index of branched tetraethers (MBT) and the cyclization ratio of branched tetraethers (CBT) quantify the relative abundance of methyl groups and cyclopentyl moieties, respectively, in branched GDGTs (74). They are calculated as follows:

$$MBT = \frac{[XIV] + [XV] + [XVI]}{[XII] + [XIII] + [XIV] + [XV] + [XVI]}$$
$$CBT = -\log\left(\frac{([XVII] + [XV])}{([XIII] + [XIV])}\right)$$

The Roman numerals correspond to structures in Fig. 1. The calibration equations used to calculate pH and annual mean air temperatures from the CBT and the MBT (74) are CBT = $3.33 - (0.38 \cdot \text{pH})$ and MBT = $0.122 + (0.187 \cdot \text{CBT}) + (0.020 \cdot \text{MAT})$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Distribution and origin of archaeal GDGTs (I to V and VIII to XI). HPLC-MS analysis of the hot spring samples revealed that all contained isoprenoid GDGTs, indicating that archaea are present across a range of hot spring environments. In general, GDGTs I to V were the most abundant (e.g., Fig. 2A to C; Table 4), with GDGT V usually dominating, except for the mats from Bath Lake Vista, where GDGT I dominated (Table 4). These GDGTs are likely derived from (hyper)thermophilic Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota, which are known to synthesize these lipids in culture (Tables 1 and 2) and for which closely related 16S rRNA gene sequences have been found in a number of these hot springs. For example, the archaeal diversity in Obsidian Pool is large and 16S rRNA gene sequences were found related to all orders of the Crenarchaeota (1, 37), Korarchaeota (1), and sequences related to the euryarchaeotal order Archaeoglobales (1). Indeed, the isoprenoid GDGTs in Obsidian Pool are composed of GDGTs I to V and VIII to X, which are synthesized by cultivated species from these orders (Tables 1 and 2). For Calcite Springs, 16S rRNA gene sequences have been found related to Korarchaeota and to the crenarchaeotal orders Desulfurococcales and Thermoproteales (44). The GDGTs synthesized by these archaea, mainly GDGTs I to V, are indeed abundant in Calcite Springs, but we also detected GDGTs VIII to X, suggesting that there may be other archaeal species present as well. Finally, one archaeal 5S rRNA gene sequence has been found in biomass that developed on glass fiber filters incubated in the source waters of Octopus Spring (54), but due to the small database of 5S rRNA gene sequences at that time, it was unclear to which order or



FIG. 2. Base peak chromatograms of HPLC-MS analysis of hot spring samples showing the distributions of GDGTs in Obsidian Pool (temperature, 75°C; pH 6) (A), Calcite Springs (temperature, 83°C; pH 7.8) (B), and Mushroom Spring (temperature, 50°C; pH 8.5) (C).

even kingdom these sequences belonged. Blank et al. (2) failed to obtain archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences from sinters at the edge of the Octopus Spring source pool despite using archaebacterium-specific primers for PCR. A number of studies have shown that methanogenesis occurs in cyanobacterial mats in Octopus Spring, suggesting that methanogenic archaea must be present (46, 47, 66). However, these archaea produce predominantly GDGT I (Table 1). Thus, the presence of GDGTs II to V suggests that other archaea besides methanogenic archaea are present as well, and they likely have escaped detection by molecular biological techniques up to now possibly because 16S rRNA gene studies have focused on sequences of predominant phototrophs (70) and because some archaea (e.g., methanogens) are at the top of the mat food chain and thus are much less abundant (68).

Surprisingly, GDGTs IX to XI, which contain five to seven cyclopentane rings, are usually in low abundance or sometimes even absent (for examples, see Fig. 2; Table 4), despite the fact that they have been found to be synthesized by a number of hyperthermophilic *Crenarchaeota* (Table 2). This suggests that

TABLE 4. GDGT composition in total lipid extracts of microbial mats and sediments from hot springs in Yellowstone National Park

		% GDGTs ^a																
					Isoprenoid								Nonisoprenoid					
Hot spring or extract	Temp (°C)	pН	Ι	Π	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	Х	XI	XII	XIII	XIV	XV	XVI
Obsidian Pool	75	6	18	10	11	11	23	0.4	ND	8	5	ND	ND	2	5	4	0.8	0.1
Obsidian Pool extract ^b			23	13	13	11	20	0.5	ND	4	2.4	ND	ND	3	6	4	ND	ND
Calcite Springs	83	7.8	17	9	12	13	31	0.7	ND	7	3	ND	ND	3	3	2	ND	ND
Calcite Springs extract ^b			17	6	19	10	39	0.4	ND	5	2	ND	ND	0.3	0.5	0.5	ND	ND
Nymph Creek	47	2.6	10	7	17	19	33	0.3	ND	1	2	ND	ND	ND	12	1	ND	ND
New Pit	55	6.5	8	1	3	3	20	5	ND	5	1	0.4	ND	9	10	29	4	1
Octopus Spring	60	8.3	13	12	13	18	13	0.7	ND	0.2	ND	ND	ND	1	0.8	23	5	2
Mushroom Spring	61	8.1	2	2	4	10	18	0.5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	9	5	47	3	ND
	50	8.5	10	9	11	19	17	0.7	ND	0.2	ND	ND	ND	0.7	0.6	21	9	1
"Bath Lake Vista"	57	6.2	52	1	5	2	9	ND	ND	2	ND	ND	ND	1	1	27	ND	ND
	54	ND	56	1	1	0.9	5	ND	ND	3	ND	ND	ND	6	ND	28	ND	ND
	53	6.6	46	3	2	1	5	1	ND	3	ND	ND	ND	ND	13	26	ND	ND

^{*a*} Roman numerals refer to the structures in Fig. 1. ND, not detected.

^b Extract released after acid hydrolysis of residual biomass left after lipid extraction.

either archaea capable of synthesizing GDGTs VIII to XI are in low abundance or that environmental conditions were such that GDGTs I to V were preferentially synthesized compared to GDGTs VIII to XI (see reference 67). Important environmental controls on the distribution of these GDGTs are likely temperature and pH (see references 13, 33, 62). Culture studies, for example, have shown that with increasing growth temperature, (hyper)thermophilic *Crenarchaeota* and *Euryarchaeota* synthesize increasing amounts of GDGTs containing more cyclopentane moieties (61, 62). To investigate this for our hot spring samples, we calculated the relative degree of cyclization (see reference 62) for the archaeal GDGTs:

Degree cyclization

$$=\frac{[II] + 2[III] + 3[IV] + 4[V] + 5[VIII] + 6[IX] + 7[X] + 8[XI]}{[I] + [II] + [III] + [IV] + [V] + [VIII] + [IX] + [X] + [XI]}$$

Cross-plotting this cyclization ratio in hot spring samples against temperature and pH results in a scatter plot and no significant correlation (Fig. 3A and B, respectively). This suggests that there is no dominant control of temperature or pH on the archaeal GDGT distribution. Thus, it is more likely that other environmental factors have an impact as well and that there may also be genetic (i.e., species-based) control of the distribution of the common archaeal GDGTs. Uda et al. (62) analyzed biphytane carbon skeletons for a number of species in the order Thermoplasmatales and found that all individual species linearly increased their relative numbers of cyclopentane rings with temperature (Fig. 3A). However, the degree of cyclization of the released biphytane carbon skeletons varied from species to species (Fig. 3A). Thus, it is not surprising that our hot spring data show no apparent correlation with temperature, as the archaeal communities in the chemically different hot springs (Table 3) are likely to be dissimilar. Interestingly, in the case where we sampled a chemically similar environment, i.e., Octopus Spring (Table 3), there is an increase in the degree of cyclization from 2.4 at 50°C to 3.1 at 60°C, consistent with the results of Ward et al. (67).

Another complicating factor in determining the environmental impact on GDGT distribution is that GDGTs VIII to XI seem to have a more-restricted occurrence in the Archaea and are present predominantly in the orders Sulfolobales and Thermoplasmatales (Tables 1 and 2). The members of these orders also happen to be archaea which optimally grow at low pH (i.e., <4). In contrast, most other archaea which live at much higher pH synthesize predominantly GDGT I (Table 1 and 2). Since nearly all of the hot springs we investigated have a pH of >6, it is not surprising that GDGTs VIII to XI are in relatively low abundance. Indeed, the relative degree of cyclization on Nymph Creek, an acidic hot spring, is higher (3.1) than that of the neutral Octopus Spring hot spring (2.4), while temperatures are relatively similar, i.e., 47 versus 50°C (Table 3). Ward et al. (67) also found a substantially higher degree of cyclization in biphytane carbon skeletons from Nymph Creek than in other neutral hot spring environments. It has recently been suggested that membranes composed of monolayer-forming GDGTs are essential to survival in strongly acidic conditions (see reference 33). Our results and a review of the literature on lipids of cultivated archaea (Tables 1 and 2) also suggest that the biosynthesis of a large number of cyclopentane rings within these GDGTs is essential for living in hot acidic environments. Indeed, it has been shown that liposomes composed of GDGTs I to V and VIII to XI have a low proton permeability even at temperatures up to 80°C, in contrast to liposomes of diethers and GDGT I (12, 64).

Finally, GDGT I may also be predominantly sourced from (hyper)thermophilic *Crenarchaeota* of the order *Thermococcales, Euryarchaeota* of the order *Archaeoglobales*, and methanogenic archaea. These archaea synthesize predominantly GDGT I (Table 1) and do not seem to produce GDGTs containing cyclopentane moieties, with the exception of *Methanopyrus kandleri*, which synthesizes GDGTs II to V in low abundance (M. T. J. van der Meer, S. Schouten, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté, unpublished results) and uncultivated methanotrophic *Euryarchaeota* from the ANME-1 cluster, which synthesize GDGTs II to V (4, 40, 51). If there is a substantial contribution of GDGT I from these *Crenarchaeota* and *Euryarchaeota*, then this will also obscure any relation between the relative number of cyclopentane moieties and



FIG. 3. Cross plot of the relative number of cyclopentane moieties in GDGTs I to V and VIII to XI in the hot springs (this study) and cultivated archaea (*Thermoplasma acidophilum*, *Thermoplasma volcanium*, and *Picrophilus oshimae*) (from reference 62) against the temperature (A) and pH (B) of the hot springs. Broken lines, increase in degree of cyclization with temperature for cultivated archaea.

environmental parameters. Thus, taken together, our data suggest that the different GDGTs are sourced by different populations of archaea in hot springs of different chemistries and that this will obscure any impact of environmental parameters on their distribution. This readily explains the lack of correlation between GDGT distribution and temperature in hot springs (42; this study). Temperature has a greater influence on the distributions of GDGTs in marine and some lake environments because archaeal diversity is lower there, i.e., predominantly group I *Crenarchaeota* (50, 75, 76). Nevertheless, even there, care has to be taken, as this temperature signature can be obscured by the input of other populations of archaea with different GDGT distributions, such as methanotrophic archaea (Table 2; 40) and terrestrial archaea (reference 73).

Occurrence and distribution of nonisoprenoid GDGTs (XII to XVI). All the hot spring samples we studied contained substantial amounts of GDGTs XII to XVI, ranging from 8% to 64% of all GDGTs (Table 4). These GDGTS are ubiquitously found in low-temperature environments such as soils (73) and peats (72) and are thought to be synthesized by mesophilic anaerobic bacteria (72). In soils, they are the dominant GDGTs (73), while in marine, river, and lake environments, they are generally in much lower abundance than are isoprenoid GDGTs (16, 19). Their relative abundance in the latter environments correlates well with the relative input of soil-derived organic matter (16, 24, 36), and they are thus likely of allochthonous origin. Similarly, the nonisoprenoid GDGTs in our hot springs may derive largely from the surrounding soils via runoff through precipitation. Indeed, Ward et al. (69) found C_{29} sterols of allochthonous origin in anoxic microbial mats of Octopus Spring and New Pit. The most-dominant nonisoprenoid GDGT is, in general, GDGT XIV, although in a few hot springs GDGT XIII is somewhat more abundant than this GDGT (Table 4; see also below).

Weijers et al. (74) have shown that in soils the distribution of bacterial GDGTs is correlated with mean annual air temperatures and pH. For selected hot springs, i.e., where all the bacterial GDGT isomers could be quantified, we calculated the MBT and CBT of nonisoprenoid tetraethers (see reference 74). Using these indices and the empirical correlation equa-

Hot spring	In situ temp (°C)	Air temp (°C) ^a	In situ pH	MBT	CBT	pH calculated	Temp calculated (°C)
Obsidian Pool	75	~1	6	0.40	0.96	6.2	5
Octopus Spring	60	~ 2	8.3	0.94	0.65	7.1	35
New Pit	55	~ 2	6.5	0.64	1.0	6.1	17
Mushroom Spring	61	~ 2	8.1	0.79	1.2	5.7	22
1 0	50		8.5	0.96	0.36	7.8	38

TABLE 5. MBTs, CBTs, and pH and temperature estimates derived from selected mats

^a Mean annual air temperature. Data are from the Western Regional Climate Center (www.wrcc.dri.edu).

tions with air temperature and pH as described by Weijers et al. (74) (see Materials and Methods), we estimated the pH and temperature at which the GDGT-lipid-producing bacteria were living (Table 5). The results show that for Obsidian Pool, the CBT and MBT suggest that the bacterial GDGTs XII to XVI were biosynthesized at relatively cold temperatures, close to the mean annual air temperature of the surrounding area. This is consistent with the hypothesis of a predominantly allochthonous source for these GDGTs. In contrast, temperatures reconstructed from bacterial GDGT distributions in New Pit, Mushroom, and Octopus Springs suggest that the temperatures were much higher than the mean annual air temperatures by up to 35°C (Table 5). Possibly, this indicates that a minor part of the nonisoprenoid GDGTs is synthesized in situ in these hot springs. Alternatively, and perhaps more likely, these GDGTs may be derived mainly from bacteria living in soils located close to the hot springs, which are relatively warmer than soils further removed from the geothermal springs. Future analysis of the soils surrounding these hot springs should reveal whether the anaerobic bacteria producing GDGTs XII to XVI are present at these elevated temperatures.

Distribution and origin of crenarchaeol (GDGT VI). For most sediments, we found a relatively low abundance (<1% of all GDGTs) of GDGT VI, crenarchaeol, with the exception of the New Pit hot spring, where crenarchaeol represented ca. 5% of all GDGTs (Table 4). The presence of crenarchaeol in neutral to alkaline hot springs is consistent with previous reports (42, 78). To compare our GDGT distributions with those of Zhang et al. (78), we calculated the ratios of crenarchaeol to the sum of crenarchaeol and GDGT I. The ratios were mostly below 0.1, with the exception of that for the New Pit hot spring, where it was 0.39. These ratios are substantially lower than those of most of the hot spring samples examined by Zhang et al. (78), who reported ratios ranging from 0.1 up to 1.0. When we plotted the crenarchaeol/GDGT I ratio obtained from our hot spring samples (Fig. 4) and from marine surface sediments (49, 50; S. Schouten et al., unpublished results) against temperature, we obtained a different scatter plot than that of Zhang et al. (78) (Fig. 4A). For marine sediments, the ratio of crenarchaeol to crenarchaeol and GDGT I linearly increases between 5 and 25°C, as reported previously (50). However, this ratio does not show an apparent correlation with temperature for our hot springs, and there is seemingly no "thermophilic temperature optimum" present (see reference 78). Similarly, there is no strong correlation of this ratio with the pH of the hot springs (Fig. 4B). The reason for the discrepancies between our results and those of Pearson et al. (42) and Zhang et al. (78) is at present not clear. The distribution of GDGTs in their

hot spring samples is quite different than that in ours; e.g., in our case GDGT V is often dominating. Crenarchaeol and GDGT V coelute but are separated by 2 daltons in their protonated molecule mass, 1,292 versus 1,294 Da, respectively, and this will lead only to an overestimation of GDGT V in the case of high crenarchaeol abundance and not vice versa (see Materials and Methods and reference 71). Another difference between our study and those of Pearson et al. (42) and Zhang et al. (78) is that those authors specifically examined the acidhydrolyzed glycolipid fraction of their Bligh-Dyer-method-extracted mats, while in our case we directly analyzed the GDGT fraction of the whole extract of the hot spring mats and sediments obtained by DCM-methanol extraction. Possibly, GDGTs may have escaped our detection, as they were still bound as glycolipids. However, when we performed acid hydrolysis on sample residues from Calcite Springs and Obsidian Pool left after extraction, we did not obtain substantially higher amounts of crenarchaeol (Table 4). Thus, it seems that we did not miss a large pool of sequestered crenarchaeol, at least in these samples.

Apparently then, in the hot springs we studied, there must be controls on the relative abundance of crenarchaeol other than those suggested by Zhang et al. (78). It is known that crenarchaeol is also synthesized by mesophilic soil Crenarchaeota (33, 71, 73), and since the terrestrial hot springs are evidently in close contact with surrounding soils, crenarchaeol may potentially be of allochthonous origin. We therefore compared its abundance with that of the bacterial GDGTs XII to XIV, which are hypothesized to be predominantly of allochthonous origin (see above). When the relative abundance of crenarchaeol against GDGT I is plotted against the relative abundance of GDGTs XII to XIV against GDGT I, then it is obvious that the highest relative abundance of crenarchaeol is associated with the highest relative abundance of GDGTs XII to XIV (Fig. 4C). This suggests that in our hot spring samples, crenarchaeol is likely of allochthonous origin. Consistent with this suggestion is the lack of substantial amounts of the crenarchaeol regioisomer. This isomer strongly increases in abundance with temperature, and in marine environments with temperatures of >25°C, it comprises ca. 10% of crenarchaeol abundance (50, 75). The lack of crenarchaeol isomer thus suggests that crenarchaeol was synthesized at mesophilic temperatures, i.e., the surrounding soils of the hot springs, and that it was carried through, e.g., rain runoff, into the hot spring.

Conclusions. Analyses of hot spring environments of Yellowstone National Park revealed that bacterial and archaeal GDGTs occur ubiquitously. Most of the isoprenoid GDGTs are sourced in situ by diverse consortia of (hyper)thermophilic *Crenarchaeota* and *Euryarchaeota*, suggesting that they are widespread in hot spring systems. Crenarchaeol, derived from



FIG. 4. (A and B) Cross plots of the relative ratio of crenarchaeol to GDGT I against temperature (A) and pH (B); (C) relative ratio of the major terrestrial GDGTs (XII to XIV) to GDGT I. The inset in panel A shows a plot of the ratio of crenarchaeol to GDGT I against the temperature of the hot springs studied by Zhang et al. (78) and the marine sediments of Zhang et al. (78) and Schouten et al. (49).

group I Crenarchaeota, is present in relatively low abundance and, we hypothesize, is of allochthonous origin from the surrounding soils, similar to the bacterial GDGTs. Similarly, in some of the hot springs, the bacterial GDGTs are likely derived from closely surrounding soils which have been geothermally heated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. M. Bateson and B. Lindstrom for help with field work. The U.S. National Park Service is thanked for permission to conduct research in Yellowstone National Park.

This study was partially supported by U.S. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) grants NAG5-8824 and 13468 to

D.M.W., NASA's support of the Montana State University Thermal Biology Institute (NAG5-8807), and a grant to A.-L.R. (NSF-03-28326).

REFERENCES

- Barns, S. M., C. F. Delwiche, J. D Palmer, and N. R. Pace. 1996. Perspectives on archaeal diversity, thermophily and monophyly from environmental rRNA sequences. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93:9188–9193.
- Blank, C. E., S. L. Cady, and N. R. Pace. 2002. Microbial composition of near-boiling silica-depositing thermal springs throughout Yellowstone Park. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:5123–5135.
- Blöchl, E., R. Rachel, S Burggraf, D. Hafenbradl, H. W. Jannasch, and K. O. Stetter. 1997. *Pyrolobus fumarii*, gen. and sp. nov., represents a novel group of archaea, extending the upper temperature limit for life to 113°C. Extremophiles 1:14–21.
- Blumenberg, M., R. Seifert, J. Reitner, T. Pape, and W. Michaelis. 2004. Membrane lipid patterns typify distinct anaerobic methanotrophic consortia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101:11111–11116.
- Brock, T. D., K. M. Brock, R. T. Belly, and R. L. Weiss. 1972. Sulfolobus: a new genus of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria living at low pH and high temperature. Arch. Microbiol. 84:54–68.
- Canganella, F., W. J. Jones, A. Gambacorta, and G. Antranikian. 1998. *Thermococcus guaymasensis* sp. nov. and *Thermococcus aggregans* sp. nov., two novel thermophilic archaea isolated from the Guaymas Basin hydrothermal vent site. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 48:1181–1185.
- Cline, J. D. 1969. Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide in natural waters. Limnol. Oceanogr. 14:454–458.
- Comita, P. B., R. B. Gagosian, H. Pang, and C. E. Costello. 1984. Structural elucidation of a unique macrocyclic membrane lipid from a new, extremely thermophilic, deep-sea hydrothermal vent archaebacterium, *Methanococcus jannaschü*. J. Biol. Chem. 259:15234–15241.
- 9. Reference deleted.
- De Rosa, M., A. Gambacorta, and A. Gliozzi. 1986. Structure, biosynthesis, and physicochemical properties of archaebacterial lipids. Microbiol. Rev. 50:70–80.
- De Rosa, M., and A. Gambacorta. 1988. The lipids of archaeabacteria. Prog. Lipid Res. 27:153–175.
- Elferink, M. G. L., J. G. de Wit, A. J. M. Driessen, and W. N. Koning. 1994. Stability and proton-permeability of liposomes composed of archaeal tetraether membrane lipids. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1193:247–254.
- Ferrante, G., I. Ekiel, and G. D. Sprott. 1986. Structural characterization of the lipids of *Methanococcus voltae*, including a novel N-acetylglucosamine 1-phosphate diether. J. Biol. Chem. 261:17062–17066.
- Gliozzi, A., G. Paoli, M. DeRosa, and A. Gambacorta. 1983. Effect of isoprenoid cyclization on the transition temperature of lipids in thermophilic archaeabacteria. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 735:234–242.
- Hafenbradl, D., M. Keller, R. Dirmeier, R. Rachel, P. Roßnagel, S. Burggraf, H. Huber, and K. O. Stetter. 1996. *Ferroglobus placidus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel hyperthermophilic archaeum that oxidizes Fe²⁺ at neutral pH under anoxic conditions. Arch. Microbiol. 166:308–314.
- Herfort, L., S. Schouten, J. P. Boon, M. Woltering, M. Baas, J. W. H. Weijers, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2006. Characterization of transport and deposition of terrestrial organic matter in the southern North Sea using the BIT index. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51:2196–2205.
- Hoefs, M. E. L., S. Schouten, J. W. De Leeuw, L. L. King, S. G. Wakeham, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 1997. Ether lipids of planktonic archaea in the marine water column. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:3090–3095.
- Hopmans, E. C., S. Schouten, R. D. Pancost, M. J. T. van der Meer, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2000. Analysis of intact tetraether lipids in archaeal cell material and sediments using high performance liquid chromatography/atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 14:585–589.
- Hopmans, E. C., J. W. H. Weijers, E. Schefuß, L. Herfort, J. S. Sinninghe Damsté, and S. Schouten. 2004. A novel proxy for terrestrial organic matter in sediments based on branched and isoprenoid tetraether lipids. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 224:107–116.
- Huber, R., D. Dyba, H. Huber, S. Burggraf, and R. Rachel. 1998. Sulfurinhibited *Thermosphaera aggregans* sp. nov., a new genus of hyperthermophilic archaea isolated after its prediction from environmentally derived 16S rRNA sequences. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 48:31–38.
- Itoh, T., K. Suzuki, P. C. Sanchez, and T. Nakase. 1999. Caldivirga maquilingensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a new genus of rod-shaped crenarchaeote isolated from a hot spring in the Philippines. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 49:1157–1163.
- Itoh, T., K. Suzuki, P. C. Sanchez, and T. Nakase. 2003. Caldisphaera lagunensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel thermoacidophilic crenarchaeote isolated from a hot spring at Mt Maquiling, Philippines. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53:1149–1154.
- Jahn, U., R. E. Summons, H. F. Sturt, E. Grosjean, and H. Huber. 2004. Composition of the lipids of *Nanoarchaeum equitans* and their origin from its host *Ignicoccus* sp. strain KIN4/I. Arch. Microbiol. 182:404–413.
- Kim, J.-H., S. Schouten, R. Buscail, W. Ludwig, J. Bonnin, J. S. Sinninghe Damsté, and F. Bourrin. 2006. Origin and distribution of terrestrial organic

matter in the NW Mediterranean (Gulf of Lions): application of the newly developed BIT index. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 7:Q11017. doi:10.1029/2006GC001306.

- Koga, Y., and H. Morii. 2005. Recent advances in structural research on ether lipids from archaea including comparative and physiological aspects. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 69:2019–2034.
- Kuwabara, T., M. Minaba, Y. Iwayama, I. Inouye, M. Nakashima, K. Marumo, A. Maruyama, A. Sugai, T. Itoh, J. Ishibash, T. Urabe, and M. Kamekura. 2005. *Thermococcus coalescens* sp. nov., a cell-fusing hyperthermophilic archaeon from Suiyo Seamount. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55:2507–2514.
- Kuypers, M. M. M., P. Blokker, J. Erbacher, H. Kinkel, R. D. Pancost, S. Schouten, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2001. Massive expansion of marine archaea during a mid-Cretaceous oceanic anoxic event. Science 293:92–95.
- Langworthy, T. A., T. G. Tornabene, and G. Holzer. 1982. Lipids of Archaebacteria. Zentbl. Bakteriol. Mikrobiol. Hyg. 1 Abt. Orig. C 3:228–244.
- Langworthy, T. A., and J. L. Pond. 1986. Archaebacterial ether lipids and chemotaxonomy. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 7:253–257.
- Lanzotti, V., M. DeRosa, A. Trincone, A. L. Basso, A. Gambacorta, and W. Zillig. 1987. Complex lipids of *Desulfurococcus mobilis*, a sulfate-reducing archaebacterium. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 922:95–102.
- Ianzotti, V., A. Trincone, B. Nicolaus, W. Zillig, M. De Rosa, and A. Gambacorta. 1989. Complex lipids of *Pyrococcus* and AN1, thermophilic members of archaebacteria belonging to *Thermococcales*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1004:44–48.
- Lattuati, A., J. Guezennec, P. Metzger, and C. Largeau. 1998. Lipids of *Thermococcus hydrothermalis*, an archaea isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. Lipids 33:319–326.
- Leininger, S., T. Urich, M. Schloter, L. Schwark, J. Qi, G. W. Nicol, J. I. Prosser, S. C. Schuster, and C. Schleper. 2006. Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. Nature 442:806–809.
- Macalady, J. L., M. M. Vestling, D. Baumler, N. Boekelheide, C. W. Kaspar, and J. F. Banfield. 2004. Tetraether-linked membrane monolayers in *Ferroplasma* spp: a key to survival in acid. Extremophiles 8:411–419.
- 35. Marteinsson, V. T., J. L. Birrien, A. L. Reysenbach, M. Vernet, D. Marie, A. Gambacorta, P. Messner, U. B. Sleytr, and D. Prieur. 1999. *Thermococcus barophilus* sp. nov., a new barophilic and hyperthermophilic archaeon isolated under high hydrostatic pressure from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 49:351–359.
- Ménot, G., E. Bard, F. Rostek, J. W. H. Weijers, E. C. Hopmans, S. Schouten, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2006. Early reactivation of European rivers during the last deglaciation. Science 313:1623–1625.
- Meyer-Dombard, D. R., E. L. Schock, and J. P. Amend. 2005. Archaeal and bacterial communities in geochemically diverse hot springs of Yellowstone National Park. Geobiology 4:211–227.
- Morii, H., T. Eguchi, M. Nishihara, K. Kakinuma, H. König, and Y. Koga. 1998. A novel ether core lipid with H-shaped C₈₀-isoprenoid hydrocarbon chain from the hyperthermophilic methanogen *Methanothermus fervidus*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1390:339–345.
- Nichols, P. D., and P. D. Franzmann. 1992. Unsaturated diether phospholipids in the Antarctic methanogen *Methanococcoides burtonii*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 98:205–208.
- Pancost, R. D., E. C. Hopmans, J. S. Sinninghe Damsté, and the MEDINAUT Shipboard Scientific Party. 2001. Archaeal lipids in Mediterranean cold sceps: molecular proxies for anaerobic methane oxidation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 65:1611–1627.
- Pancost, R. D., S. Pressley, J. M. Coleman, H. M. Talbot, S. P. Kelly, P. Farrimond, S. Schouten, L. Benning, and B. W. Mountain. 2006. Composition and implications of diverse lipids in New Zealand hydrothermal sinters. Geobiology 4:71–92.
- Pearson, A., Z. Huang, A. E., Ingalls, C. S. Romanek, J. Wiegel, K. H. Freeman, R. H. Smittenberg, and C. L. Zhang. 2004. Nonmarine crenarchaeol in Nevada hot springs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:5229–5237.
- chaeol in Nevada hot springs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70:5229–5237.
 43. Powers, L. A., J. P. Werne, T. C. Johnson, E. C. Hopmans, J. S. Sinninghe Damsté, and S. Schouten. 2004. Crenarchaeotal lipids in lake sediments: a new paleotemperature proxy for continental paleoclimate reconstruction? Geology 32:613–616.
- Reysenbach, A. L., S. Seitzinger, J. Kirshtein, and E. McLaughlin. 1999. Molecular constraints on a high-temperature evolution on early life. Biol. Bull. 196:367–372.
- 45. Reysenbach, A. L., Y. Liu, A. B. Banta, T. J. Beveridge, J. D. Kirshtein, S. Schouten, M. K. Tivey, K. Von Damm, and M. A. Voytek. 2006. Isolation of a ubiquitous obligate thermoacidophilic archaeon from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Nature 442:444–447.
- Sandbeck, K. A., and D. M. Ward. 1981. Fate of immediate methane precursors in low-sulfate, hot-spring algal-bacterial mats. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 41:775–782.
- Sandbeck, K. A., and D. M. Ward. 1982. Temperature adaptations in the terminal processes of anaerobic decomposition of Yellowstone National Park and Icelandic hot spring microbial mats. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 44:844–851.
- 48. Schleper, C., G. Puehler, I. Holz, A. Gambacorta, D. Janekovic, U.

Santarius, H.-P. Klenk, and W. Zillig. 1995. *Picrophilus* gen. nov., fam. nov.: a novel aerobic, heterotrophic, thermoacidophilic genus and family comprising archaea capable of growth around pH 0. J. Bacteriol. 177: 7050–7059.

- Schouten, S., E. C. Hopmans, R. D. Pancost, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2000. Widespread occurrence of structurally diverse tetraether membrane lipids: evidence for the ubiquitous presence of low-temperature relatives of hyperthermophiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97:14421–14426.
- Schouten, S., E. C. Hopmans, E. Schefuß, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2002. Distributional variations in marine crenarchaeotal membrane lipids: a new organic proxy for reconstructing ancient sea water temperatures? Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 204:265–274.
- Schouten, S., S. G. Wakeham, E. C. Hopmans, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2003. Biogeochemical evidence that thermophilic archaea mediate the anaerobic oxidation of methane. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69:1680–1686.
- 51a.Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., E. C. Hopmans, S. Schouten, A. C. T. van Duin, and J. A. J. Geenevasen. 2002. Crenarchaeol: the characteristic core glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraether membrane lipid of cosmopolitan pelagic crenarchaeta. J. Lipid Res. 43:1641–1651.
- Sprott, G. D., G. Ferrante, and I. Ekiel. 1994. Tetraether lipids of *Methanospi*rillum hungatei with head groups consisting of phospho-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminopentanetetrol, phospho-*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethylaminopentanetetrol, and carbohydrates. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1214:234–242.
- 53. Sprott, G. D., J. R. Brisson, C. J. Dicaire, A. K. Pelletier, L. A. Deschatelets, L. Krishnan, and G. B. Patel. 1999. A structural comparison of the total polar lipids from the human archaea *Methanobrevibacter smithii* and *Methanosphaera stadtmanae* and its relevance to the adjuvant activities of their liposomes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1440:275–288.
- Stahl, D. A., D. J. Lane, G. J. Olsen, and N. R. Pace. 1985. Characterization of a Yellowstone hot spring microbial community by 5S rRNA sequences. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 49:1379–1384.
- 55. Sturt, H. F., R. E. Summons, K. Smith, M. Elvert, and K. U. Hinrichs. 2004. Intact polar membrane lipids in prokaryotes and sediments deciphered by high-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization multistage mass spectrometry—new biomarkers for biogeochemistry and microbial ecology. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 18:617–628.
- Sugai, A., I. Uda, Y. Itoh, Y. H. Itoh, and T. Itoh. 2004. The core lipid composition of the 17 strains of hyperthermophilic archaea, *Thermococcales*. J. Oleo Sci. 53:41–44.
- Takai, K., A. Sugai, T. Itoh, and K. Horikoshi. 2000. Palaeococcus ferrophilus gen. nov., sp nov., a barophilic, hyperthermophilic archaeon from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent chimney. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50:489–500.
- Takayanagi, S., H. Kawasaki, K. Sugimori, T. Yamada, A. Sugai, T. Ito, K. Yamasato, and M. Shioda. 1996. *Sulfolobus hakonensis* sp. nov., a novel species of acidothermophilic archaeon. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 46:377–382.
- Trincone, A., B. Nicolaus, G. Palmieri, M. De Rosa, R. Huber, G. Huber, K. O. Stetter, and A. Gambacorta. 1992. Distribution of complex and core lipids within new hyperthermophilic members of the *Archaea* domain. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 15:11–17.
- Thurl, S., and W. Schafer. 1988. Lipids from the sulfur-dependent archaeabacterium *Thermoproteus tenax*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 961:253–261.
- Uda, I., A. Sugai, Y. H. Itoh, and T. Itoh. 2001. Variation on molecular species of polar lipids from *Thermoplasma acidophilum* depends on growth temperature. Lipids 36:103–105.
- Uda, I., A. Sugai, Y. H. Itoh, and T. Itoh. 2004. Variation in molecular species of core lipids from the order *Thermoplasmales* strains depends on growth temperature. J. Oleo Sci. 53:399–404.

- 63. van der Meer, M. J. T., S. Schouten, G. Fuchs, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2001. Stable carbon isotope fractionations of the hyperthermophilic crenarchaeon *Metallosphaera sedula*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **196**:67–70.
- 64. van de Vossenberg, J. L. C. M., A. J. M. Driessen, and W. N. Konings. 1998. The essence of being extremophilic: the role of the unique archaeal membrane lipids. Extremophiles 2:163–170.
- Völkl, P., R. Huber, E. Drobner, R. Rachel, S. Burggraf, A. Trincone, and K. O. Stetter. 1993. *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* sp. nov., a novel nitrate-reducing hyperthermophilic archaeum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:2918–2926.
- Ward, D. M. 1978. Thermophilic methanogenesis in a hot-spring algalbacterial mat (71 to 30°C). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35:1019–1026.
- Ward, D. M., S. C. Brassell, and G. Eglinton. 1985. Archaebacterial lipids in hot-spring microbial mats. Nature 318:656–659.
- 68. Ward, D. M., R. Weller, J. Shiea, R. W. Castenholz, and Y. Cohen. 1989. Hot spring microbial mats: anoxygenic and oxygenic mats of possible evolution-ary significance, p. 3–15. *In* Y. Cohen and E. Rosenburg (ed.), Microbial mats: physiological ecology of benthic microbial communities. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- 69. Ward, D. M., J. Shiea, Y. B. Zeng, G. Dobson, S. C. Brassell, and G. Eglinton. 1989. Lipid biochemical markers and the composition of microbial mats, p. 439–454. *In* Y. Cohen and E. Rosenburg (ed.), Microbial mats: physiological ecology of benthic microbial communities. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Ward, D. M., M. M. Bateson, M. J. Ferris, and S. C. Nold. 1998. A natural view of microbial biodiversity within hot spring cyanobacterial mat communities. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62:1353–1370.
- Weijers, J. W. H., S. Schouten, M. van der Linden, B. van Geel, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2004. Water table related variations in the abundance of intact archaeal membrane lipids in a Swedish peat bog. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 239:51–56.
- Weijers, J. W. H., S. Schouten, E. C. Hopmans, J. A. J. Geenevasen, O. R. P. David, J. M. Coleman, R. D. Pancost, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2006. Membrane lipids of mesophilic anaerobic bacteria thriving in peats have typical archaeal traits. Environ. Microbiol. 8:648–657.
- 73. Weijers, J. W. H., S. Schouten, O. C. Spaargaren, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2006. Occurrence and distribution of tetraether membrane lipids in soils: implications for the use of the TEX₈₆ proxy and the BIT index. Org. Geochem. 37:1680–1693.
- 74. Weijers, J. W. H., S. Schouten, J. C. van den Donker, E. C. Hopmans, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2007. Environmental controls on bacterial tetraether membrane lipid distribution in soils. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 71:703–713.
- Wuchter, C., S. Schouten, M. J. L. Coolen, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2004. Temperature-dependent variation in the distribution of tetraether membrane lipids of marine *Crenarchaeota*: implications for TEX₈₆ paleothermometry. Paleoceanography 19:PA4028. doi: 10.1029/2004PA001041.
- Wuchter, C., S. Schouten, S. G. Wakeham, and J. S. Sinninghe Damsté. 2005. Temporal and spatial variation in tetraether membrane lipids of marine *Crenarchaeota* in particulate organic matter: implications for TEX₈₆ paleothermometry. Paleoceanography 20:PA3013. doi: 10.1029/2004PA001110.
- Zeikus, J. G., A. Ben-Bassat, and P. W. Hegge. 1980. Microbiology of methanogenesis in thermal, volcanic environments. J. Bacteriol. 143:432–440.
- Zhang, C. L., A. Pearson, Y.-L. Li, G. Mills, and J. Wiegel. 2006. Thermophilic temperature optimum for crenarchaeol synthesis and its implication for archaeal evolution. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:4419–4422.